

Briefing note

To: Cabinet

Date: 9th July 2024

Subject: Proposed Consultation for the Community Safety Partnership Plan 2024-2027

1 Purpose of the Note

1.1 To inform Cabinet of the outcome of Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee's consideration of the Proposed Consultation for the Community Safety Partnership Plan 2024-2027 Report.

2 Recommendations

- 2.1 Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee:
 - 1) Considered the requirements of the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 and the need to create a Community Safety Partnership Plan.
 - 2) Agreed to receive a future report setting out the responses received, and the proposed Community Safety Partnership Plan 2024-2027.
 - 3) Identified the following additional recommendations for Cabinet:
 - a. That the Cabinet request officers to use all of the Council's partners' communication channels, including faith groups and housing providers, to ensure as wide consultation as possible.
 - That additional bullet points be added to Question 5 of the questionnaire (relating to main priorities for the Community Safety Partnership to focus on) identifying.
 - i) environmental ASB e.g. fly-tipping and dog fouling, and
 - ii) off-road e-bikes

3 Information and Background

- 3.1 At their meeting on 26th June 2024, Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee considered a report on the Proposed Consultation for the Community Safety Partnership Plan 2024-2027.
- 3.2 Members of the committee considered the report and asked questions of the officers and Deputy Cabinet Member on the following areas:
 - That the list of stakeholders was not exhaustive and that other social housing providers and external partners such as faith groups and Severn Trent would be included in the consultation.

- The timescales for the consultation and how participants will get feedback as to how their responses will be used.
- Whether there should be a target number for responses and if this would be useful to identify.
- The lack of resource to be able to offer a financial incentives to participate
- The fact that fly-tipping, dog fouling and off-road e-bikes were raised as concerns by residents to their Councillors but weren't included in the options available as priorities.
- That requiring the public to have to log into a Council account to participate
 in the on-line survey could be a disincentive but could also provide a route to
 be able to provide feedback.

4 Health Inequalities Impact

4.1 A full Equalities Impact Assessment will be completed including on health inequalities once the strategic assessment has been analysed.

Gennie Holmes Scrutiny Co-ordinator Law and Governance gennie.holmes@coventry.gov.uk